### 0040-4020(95)00310-X

# A Synthesis of 1-Hydroxybenzo-1,2,3-triazole 3-Oxide

Emil T. Apasov, Aleksandr M. Churakov\*, Yuri A. Strelenko, Sema L. Ioffe, Bekmurat A. Djetigenov, Vladimir A. Tartakovsky

N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences Leninsky prospect 47, Moscow 117913, Russian Federation. Fax: 095 135-5328

Abstract: The intramolecular reaction of the nitroso group with the azoxy side-chain in 2-{ert-butyl}-1-(2-nitrosophenyl)diazene 1-N-oxide provides the key step in a synthesis of the previously unknown 1-hydroxybenzo-1,2,3-triazole 3-oxide.

Recently it was disclosed<sup>1</sup> that *tert*-butyl group in a *tert*-butyl-*NNO*-azoxy moiety can be replaced by electrophiles. In present communication we took advantage of this reaction for synthesis of the hitherto unknown 1-hydroxybenzo-1,2,3-triazole 3-oxide<sup>2</sup>.

The key intermediate in this synthetic route was the nitroso compound 3, which was obtained by the reduction of nitro compound 1 with zinc dust to give hydroxylamine derivative 2 and the oxidation of the latter with yellow mercuric oxide in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution.

**Scheme 1.** i: Zn/NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, H<sub>2</sub>O, EtOH; (53%); ii: HgO, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (96%).

Nitroso compound 3 was stable at room temperature when a small amount of pyridine was present, the color of the solution being green. However, when the base is absent, the solution turned brown in a few hours with 1-hydroxybenzo-1,2,3-triazole 3-oxide (5) precipitating (Scheme 2). The rate of cyclization considerably increased when proton donors (e. g. trifluoroacetic acid or methanol) were added.

Scheme 2. i: CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (89%); ii: PhCO<sub>3</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (79%).

Oxidation of 2-(tert-butylazoxy)aniline (4) with perbenzoic acid in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> proved to be a more convenient method of synthesis of compound 5 (Scheme 2). The reagents were kept for 3 hours at room temperature and the precipitate of 5 was filtered off. Green color appeared in the course of the reaction; thus, we suggest the reaction to proceed via intermediate nitroso compound 3, which quickly turned into 5 in the presence of an acid.

A priori 5 could be formed by route **A** or **B** (Scheme 3). According to route **A**, nitroso compound **3** is supposed to be in equilibrium with cyclic 2-tert-butylbenzotriazole 1,3-dioxide (6). After protonation the latter could eliminates tert-butyl cation, forming **5**. According to route **B**, the protonation of nitroso compound **3** takes place first, making the cyclization to proceed easily.

## Scheme 3.

To elucidate this question, we have carried out the NMR studies (Tables 1 and 2). Preliminary measurements were made for model 2-nitronitrosobenzene (10) and 1-phenyl-2-tert-butyldiazene 1-oxide (11). To calculate <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts of the benzene ring atoms of compounds under investigation, the substituent chemical shifts (SCS) of -N(O)=NBu<sup>t</sup> fragment were determined from the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 11. Fragment -N(O)=N(O)- (nitroso dimer) was approximated by -N(O)=NPh fragment<sup>3</sup>. SCS of nitroso group was taken from the paper of Al-Tahou and Gowenlock<sup>4</sup>.

As expected<sup>5</sup>, the signal of the proton adjacent to the nitroso group in 10 underwent a strong upfield shift. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 10 exhibited a similar upfield shift (as compared with the calculated value) for C(2) atom, which was in the *ortho*-position to the nitroso group. Both the indications may make good use of the reliable identification of monomer in *ortho*-substituted nitroso compounds, and the integral intensity of hydrogen signals may be used for the quantitative determination of the monomer in the investigated pattern. The <sup>14</sup>N and <sup>15</sup>N NMR spectra demonstrate that the monomers of nitroso compounds have characteristic low field signals ca. 500 ppm (very broad in <sup>14</sup>N NMR spectra). This is one more indication for these compounds.

The NMR spectra of model 10 showed an equilibrium of the monomer and one of the geometrical isomers of the dimer (the second isomer was not detected). Nitroso compound 3 proved to exist as an equilibrium of three compounds. One of them was a monomer 3a, identified as described above. At -30°C, the monomer quantity did not exceed 10%, whereas at 30°C its proportion increased to 50% (determined by integral measurements of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>15</sup>N signals (INEPT, signal =N-Bu<sup>t</sup>)). A special experiment demonstrated the changes in equilibrium to be reversible. Two other compounds 3b and 3c were geometrical isomers of nitroso dimer. None of them was cyclic triazole 6, because otherwise the number of the CH signals of benzene ring in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra would be reduced from 12 to 10 on account of the symmetry of 6.

The absence of NMR signals of cyclic compound 6 made mechanism B more probable.

Because of the poor solubility of compound 5 in water and organic solvents, the NMR spectra were measured for its potassium salt 9. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra confirmed the symmetrical structure of the salt. The structure of 5 was also confirmed by microanalyses and MS. It cannot be excluded that proton in compound 5 is connected not with O-atom, but with N-atom, although H-O-structure seems to be more real. To solve this question we plan to use X-ray crystallography.

It should be noted, that 2-tert-butylbenzotriazole 1-oxide (8) exists only in cyclic form and does not eliminate tert-butyl group spontaneously. This compound was obtained by oxidation of tert-butylazoaniline (7) with perbenzoic acid (Scheme 4).

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
NH_2 & O \\
N=NBu^t & N \\
\hline
7 & 8
\end{array}$$

Scheme 4. i: PhCO<sub>3</sub>H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; (95%).

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data of Compounds 2, 3, 9 and Model Compounds.

Structure	Comp.a			δ (ppm)		
		H <sup>2</sup>	$H^3$	$\mathrm{H}^4$	H5	C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$ \begin{array}{c} O_{\bullet} \\ N = NBu^{t} \\ \downarrow^{5} \\ NHOH \\ \downarrow^{4} \\ H^{3} \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} H^{2} \\ H^{3} \end{array} $	<b>2</b> <sup>b</sup>	7.07	7.21	6.84	7.85	1.49
$O_{N} = NBu^{t}$ $N = NBu^{t}$ $N = O$ $A_{H} = A_{3}$ $A_{H} = A_{3}$ $A_{1} = A_{3}$ $A_{2} = A_{3}$ $A_{3} = A_{4}$ $A_{3} = A_{4}$ $A_{4} = A_{3}$ $A_{4} = A_{4}$ $A_{5} = A_{4}$ $A_{7} = A_{7}$ $A_{8} = A_{8}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{1}$ $A_{5} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{1}$ $A_{5} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{1}$ $A_{5} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{1}$ $A_{5} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{2}$ $A_{1} = A_{1}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{1}$ $A_{4} = A_{2}$ $A_{5} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{2}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{2}$ $A_{2} = A_{1}$ $A_{3} = A_{2}$ $A_{4} = A_{2}$ $A_{5} = A_{1}$ $A_{7} = A_{2}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{2}$ $A_{1} = A_{2}$ $A_{2} = A_{3}$ $A_{3} = A_{4}$ $A_{4} = A_{2}$ $A_{5} = A_{4}$ $A_{7} = A_{2}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{8} = A_{1}$ $A_{1} = A_{2}$ $A_{2} = A_{3}$ $A_{3} = A_{4}$ $A_{4} = A_{4}$ $A_{5} = A_{4}$ $A_{7} = A_{4}$ $A_{8} = A_{4}$ $A_{8$	3a <sup>c</sup>	6.52	7.48	7.81	7.88	1.50
$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{t}BuN = N^{\bullet O} & O \\ {}^{5}H & & & \\ & & & N = \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & $	$3b^{ m d}$	7.90 or 7.95	7.32 or 7.55	7.55 or 7.32	7.95 or 7.90	1.49
$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{t}BuN = N^{\bullet} & O \\ {}^{5}H & & N = \\ {}^{4}H & & {}^{3}U & & \\ & & & & H^{2} \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix}_{2}$	3ce	7.87 or 7.98	7.62 or 7.68	7.68 or 7.62	7.98 or 7.87	1.44
$ \begin{array}{c c} O \\ N \\ O \\ N \\ N \\ N \end{array} $ $ K^{\Theta}$	<b>9</b> f	7.04	6.83		_	_

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$ \begin{array}{c} NO_2 \\ N=0 \\ 4 \\ H^3 \end{array} $	<b>10a</b> g	6.50	7.68	7.86	8.09	_
$\begin{bmatrix} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & $	10b <sup>h</sup>	7.85	7.98	7.81	8.30	_
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11 <sup>i</sup>	8.12	7.30	7.30	_	1.44

a The solvent for compounds 2, 10 and 11 was CDCl<sub>3</sub>, for  $3 - CH_2Cl_2$ , for  $9 - D_2O$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The multiplicity of H(2)—H(5) signals were used for assignment of spectrum with proposing that lowest field doublet is a H(5) signal. Two widen lines at 7.20 ppm (NH) and 8.87 ppm (OH) were observed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> In equilibrium with **3b** and **3c**, all signals were determined by comparison of two spectra registrated at  $30^{\circ}$ C and  $-30^{\circ}$ C. The H(2)—H(5) assignment was made as for **10** and with 2D-COSY

d The dominant isomer, signal assignment was made keeping in mind the integral intensities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> The minor isomer, 3a:3b:3c = 2.5:1.8:1.0 at 30°C and 0.4:3.0:1.0 at -30°C.

f The H(2) and H(3) signal assignment was made using <sup>13</sup>C NMR data (see footnotes in table 2).

g In equilibrium mixture with 10b, H(2) signal assignment was made accordingly with Ref. 4, other protons were recognized in two-dimensional <sup>1</sup>H—<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup> The relation of 10b/10a is ca 1:2, H(2)-H(5) proton signals assignment was made by 2D-COSY; the lowest field signal was proposed H(5).

i H(2) was assumed to be a lowest field signal. Compound 11 was obtained by Kovacic method.6

<b>Table 2.</b> 13C	NMR Data of	f Compounds 2, 3	, 9 a	and Model	Compounds.
---------------------	-------------	------------------	-------	-----------	------------

	$\delta$ , ppm, calculated $\delta$ in parentheses									
Compounda	C(1)	C(2)	C(3)	C(4)	C(5)	C(6)	<u>C</u> (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	C( <u>C</u> H <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>		
<b>2</b> b	143.65	115.1	131.8	119.8	123.7	134.2 b	59.61	25.93		
	(145.0)	(111.9)	(131.5)	(118.8)	(122.7)	(132.3)				
3a <sup>c</sup>	156.5	110.4	130.3	136.3	124.0	150.1 b	60.69	25.93		
	(159.5)	(120.7)	(131.7)	(135.4)	(122.9)	(141.1)				
3bc	136.2	125.0 or	131.4 or	132.4 or	125.5 or	142,7	60.63 25,69	25.69		
	(137.7)	125.5	132.4	131.4	125.0	(145.7)				
		(125.3)	(131.0)	(139.3)	(122.2)					
3ec	134.4	124.9 or	132.1 or	132.2 or	135.9 or	143.0	60.14	25,44		
	(137.7)	125.9	132.2	132.1	124.9	(145.7)				
		(125.3)	(131.0)	(129.3)	(122.2)					
<b>9</b> d	126.46	111.36	125.94		_	_	_			
10ae	156.0	110.90	133.73	136.02	124.65		**************************************	_		
	(158.3)	(122.0)	(135.9(	(136.7)	(124.4)	(140.9)				
10b <sup>f</sup>	150.5	137.2	132.7	136.3	125.7		_	****		
	(139.2)	(123.7)	(130.4)	(134.7)	(126.4)	(145.4)				
11g	148.77	122.15	128.39	131.09	-2-90-004		58.60	25.76		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The conditions of measurement were as in Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> SCS for NH-NH<sub>2</sub> was used instead of unknown SCS for NHOH group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The assignment of signals to dimers **3b** and **3c** and monomer **3a** was made by comparison of two spectra at  $30^{\circ}$ C and  $-30^{\circ}$ C. We assume that *trans*-isomer of dimer was predominant. The C(1)—C(6) signal assignment was made from selective proton decoupling, proton coupled spectra and using calculated chemical shift values.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm d}$  C(1) signal was identified from proton coupling spectrum; C(2) and C(3) assignment was made assuming a possible diamagnetic increment of triazole ring and using coupling constants  $^{\rm 1}J_{\rm H-C(2)}=176.7$  Hz,  $^{\rm 1}J_{\rm H-C(3)}=165.5$  Hz.

e Selective decoupling of  $\dot{H}(2)$ — $\dot{H}(5)$  protons was used for assignment of C(2)—C(5) signals. The C(6) signal was not found as it was very broad owing to  $^{13}C^{-14}N$  coupling.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> C(5) signal was identified by selective decoupling of H(5) proton. C(3) and C(4) signals assignment was made accordingly with calculated values of chemical shifts using SCS of  $N(O)=NPh^3$  as approximation for unknown SCS of -N(O)=N(O)— group. The C(6) signal is not observable due to broadening by  $^{13}C^{-14}N$  coupling.

g The C(2) signal has been recognized by selective decoupling of H(2) proton, C(3) and C(4) signals have different intensities.

Table	3	14N	and	15N	NMR	Data
1 and	. 7.		ann	I	N IVIN	1 1/4 1/4

	δ(14	$\delta(^{14}N)$ , ppm, line width in parentheses, Hz						
Compound _	N=O	N. O	—NO <sub>2</sub>	—N−Bu <sup>t</sup>	—————————————————————————————————————			
	14=0	=Ñ→0		Du				
2	_	-49.3±0.5 (100)	_	_	-12.01 <sup>a</sup>			
3a	532±10	$-54\pm2$	<del></del>	5±10	4.04			
	(>1000)	(100)		(>1000)				
3b	_	$-54\pm2$		_	-3.98			
		(100)			-4.17 at −30°C			
3c	AMERICANA.	$-54\pm2$		<del></del>	-6.18			
		(100)			-6.48 at −30°C			
10a	522±3		$-12.9 \pm 0.5$	_				
	(700)		(50)					
10b		$-85\pm20$	$-17.6 \pm 1$	_	_			
		(>1000)	(150)					
11		$-52.4\pm0.5$	_	$-12\pm10$	_			
		(150)		(>1000)				

a NH,  $\delta = -245.80$  ppm,  ${}^{1}J_{1_{H-15_N}} = 86.0$  Hz;

### **EXPERIMENTAL**

NMR spectra were recorded on AM 300 Bruker instrument. The chemical shifts were measured relative to internal TMS ( $^{1}$ H,  $^{13}$ C) or CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> ( $^{14}$ N,  $^{15}$ N) external reference ( $\delta$ =0.0 ppm). The INEPT and SPT pulse sequences were used for  $^{15}$ N signal observation<sup>7</sup>. Assignment of  $^{13}$ C and  $^{1}$ H signals were made by two-dimensioned C-H and H-H correlation spectroscopy and by selective proton decoupling.

2-(tert-butyl-NNO-azoxy)hydroxylaminobenzene (2). The solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1 g) in water (25 ml) was added to a stirred solution of 2-(tert-butyl-NNO-azoxy)nitrobenzene (2 g, 9 mmol) in ethanol (30 ml) at 60°C and then zinc dust (2 g) was added in small portions over a period of 10 min. The stirring was continued for another 10 min. at the same temperature, the mixture was filtered, washed with ethanol, the solvent was partially removed in vacuo up to 25 ml. Concentrated hydrochloric acid (15 ml) was added and then the solution was extracted with ether (4 x 50 ml). The extract was washed with water, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was removed in vacuo, pentane (10 ml) was added, the solution was cooled to  $-70^{\circ}$ C and the precipitated 2 was removed via filtration to give white needles m.p. 49–50°C (1.0 g, 53%). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 57.40; H, 7.23; N, 20.08 %. Found: C, 57.50; H, 7.20; N, 19.80 %.

**2-(tert-butyl-NNO-azoxy)nitrosobenzene** (3). To a solution of **2** (0.45 g, 2.15 mmol) in CCl<sub>4</sub> (10 ml) was added the yellow mercuric oxide (0.5 g, 2.30 mmol). The mixture was stirred at r.t. until **2** disappeared (c.a. 30 min., TLC, 20% ethyl acetate/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and after filtration concentrated up to 2 ml to give the solution of **3**, which was investigated by NMR spectroscopy. The yield of **3** was almost quantitative (determined with internal standard).

1-Hydroxybenzo-1,2,3-triazole 3-oxide (5) from 3. To the above mentioned solution of 3 a few drops of trifluoroacetic acid were added. In 5 min. the precipitate was filtered off and washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$  and pentane to give 5 (0.29 g, 89 %) as a white solid. It began to decompose at 110°C without melting. MS: m/z 151 (M<sup>+</sup>) Anal. Calcd for  $C_6H_5N_3O_2$ : C, 47.68; H, 3.33; N, 27.81%. Found: C, 47.60; H, 3.38; N, 28.07%.

1-Hydroxybenzo-1,2,3-triazole 3-oxide (5) from 4. To the solution of 2-(tert-butyl-NNO-azoxy)aniline<sup>8</sup> (4) (1 g, 5.18 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 ml) was added the solution of perbenzoic acid (18 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (25 ml) at 15°C. After 3 h at r.t. the precipitate was filtered off and washed with  $CH_2Cl_2$  and pentane to give 5 (0.62 g, 79%)

2-tert-butylbenzo-1,2,3-triazole 1-oxide (8). To a solution of 2-(tert-butylazo)aniline<sup>8</sup> (7) (1 g, 5.18 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 ml) at 0°C was added the solution of perbenzoic acid (18 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 ml). The mixture was allowed to stand overnight in a refrigerator. The excess of perbenzoic acid was removed by KI workup followed by treatment with sodium thiosulfate, the organic solution was washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, dried over CaCl<sub>2</sub>, the solvent was removed, leaving 0.2 g (95%) of 8, mp 97—98°C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 1.93 (s, 9H, *t*-Bu), 7.27—740 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.69—7.74 (m, 2H, Ar); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 27.0 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 66.5 ( $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ -CH<sub>3</sub>), 113.4 (C-6), 119.0 (C-3), 125.7 (C-5), 127.7 (C-1), 128.0 (C-4), 139.4 (C-2); <sup>14</sup>N NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ =90.3 (N-1), =116.0 (N-2); <sup>15</sup>N NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ =111.3 (INEPT from *t*-Bu, N-2); MS: m/z 191 (M<sup>+</sup>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 62.83; H, 6.81; N, 21.99%. Found: C, 62.73; H, 6.86; N, 21.85%.

Acknowledgment: The work was carried out with the financial support of the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Research (Project No. 93-03-18461).

#### References and Notes

- Churakov, A. M.; Ioffe, S. L.; Tartakovsky, V. A. Mendeleev Commun., 1991, (3), 101– 103
- The radical of benzotriazolyl-1,3-dioxide was obtained by oxidation of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole with m-chloroperbenzoic acid. Aurich, H. G.; Weiss, W. Chem. Ber., 1973, 106, 2408-2414.
- 3. Ewing, D. F. Org. Magn. Reson., 1979, 12, 499-524.
- 4. Al-Tahou, B. M.; Gowenlock, B. G. Rec. Trav. Chim., 1986, 105, (10), 353-355.
- 5. Okazaki, R.; Janamoto, N. J. Chem. Soc. [B], 1970, 1583—1586.
- 6. Sullivan, F. R; Luck, E; Kovacic, P J. Org. Chem., 1974, 39, 2967-2970.
- 7. Morris, G. E.; Freeman, R. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 760-762.
- 8. Apasov, E. T.; Djetigenov, B. A.; Strelenko, Yu. A.; Kalinin, A. V.; Tartakovsky, V. A. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.*, **1991**, (6), 1394—1397. [Bull. Acad Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci., **1991**, *40*, 1234 (Engl. Transl.)]